arXiv:1412.4990v3 [math.CO] 24 Jun 2015

Sign conjugacy classes of the symmetric groups

Lucia Morotti

Abstract

A conjugacy class C of a finite group G is a sign conjugacy class if every irreducible character of G takes value 0, 1 or -1 on C. In this paper we classify the sign conjugacy classes of the symmetric groups and thereby verify a conjecture of Olsson.

1 Introduction

We will begin this paper by giving the definition of sign conjugacy class for an arbitrary finite group.

Definition 1.1. *Let G be a finite group. A conjugacy class of G is a sign conjugacy class of G if every irreducible character of G takes values 0, 1 or -1 on C.*

Since we will be working with the symmetric group, we will consider partitions instead of conjugacy classes. A partition of n is a sign partition if it is the corresponding conjugacy class of S_n is a sign conjugacy class. An easy example of a sign partition of n is (n).

Definition 1.2. *Define* Sign *to be the subsets of partitions consisting of all partitions $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ for which there exists an s, $0 \leq s \leq r$, such that the following hold:*

- $\gamma_i > \gamma_{i+1} + \ldots + \gamma_r$ *for* $1 \leq i \leq s$,
- $(\gamma_{s+1}, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ *is one of the following partitions:*
  - $()$, $(1,1)$, $(3,2,1,1)$ *or* $(5,3,2,1)$,
  - $(a, a-1, 1)$ *with* $a \geq 2$,
  - $(a, a-1, 2, 1)$ *with* $a \geq 4$,
  - $(a, a-1, 3, 1)$ *with* $a \geq 5$.

The name Sign for the above set is justified by the next theorem, which classifies sign partitions.

Theorem 1.3. *A partition γ is a sign partition if and only if $\gamma \in$* Sign.

This was first formulated by Olsson in [4] as a conjecture.

In order to prove Theorem 1.3 we will use two results from [4]. The first one of them is the following lemma (Theorem 7 of [4]).

Lemma 1.4. *A sign partition cannot have repeated parts, except possibly for the part 1, which may have multiplicity 2.*

In particular only partitions of the form $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ with either $\gamma_1 > \ldots > \gamma_r$ or $\gamma_1 > \ldots > \gamma_{r-2} > \gamma_{r-1} = \gamma_r = 1$ may be sign partitions. The next lemma can also be found in [4] (Proposition 2).

Lemma 1.5. *Let $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ be a partition of n and let $m > n$. Then $(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ is a sign partition if and only if $(m, \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ is a sign partition.*

For any partition $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_k)$ let $|\lambda| := \lambda_1 + \ldots + \lambda_k$. Also for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and $1 \leq j \leq \lambda_i$ let $h^{\lambda}_{i,j}$ denote the hook length of the node (i, j) of λ. For partitions λ, μ with $|\lambda| = n = |\mu|$ let χ^{λ}_{μ} denote the value of the irreducible character of S_n labeled by λ on the conjugacy class with cycle partition μ.

Together with the previous lemmas, the following theorem, which will be proved in Sections 2 and 3, will allow us to prove one direction of Theorem 1.3.

Theorem 1.6. *Let $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_h)$ be a partition with $h \geq 3$. Assume that $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$, that $\alpha \notin$ Sign and that $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in$ Sign. Then if $\alpha \neq (5, 4, 3, 2, 1)$ we can find a partition β of $|\alpha|$ such that $\chi^{\beta}_{\alpha} \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $h^{\beta}_{2,1} = \alpha_1$.*

The other direction of Theorem 1.3 will be proved using Lemma 1.5 and the results from Section 4, where we prove that the partitions $(\gamma_{s+1}, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ are sign partitions.

References about results on partitions and irreducible characters of S_n can be found in [1] and [3].

2 Proof of Theorem 1.6 for $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.6 in the case where $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$. Since by assumption $h \geq 3$ and $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in$ Sign, we have that

$$(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \{(1,1), (3,2,1,1), (5,3,2,1)\} \cup \{(a, a-1, 1) : a \geq 2\} \cup \{(a, a-1, 2, 1) : a \geq 4\} \cup \{(a, a-1, 3, 1) : a \geq 5\}.$$

Also $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h$ as $\alpha \notin \text{Sign}$ and by assumption $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2$. If

$$(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \{(1,1), (3,2,1,1), (5,3,2,1)\} \cup \{(a, a-1, 1) : 2 \leq a \leq 4\} \\ \cup \{(a, a-1, 2, 1) : 4 \leq a \leq 8\} \cup \{(a, a-1, 3, 1) : 5 \leq a \leq 10\}$$

there are only finitely many such α and it can be checked that for each one of them Theorem 1.6 holds.

For $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 1)$ with $a \geq 5$ let

$$\beta := \begin{cases} (2a, 2, 1^{\alpha_1 - 2}), & a+2 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 2a-2 \text{ or } \alpha_1 = 2a, \\ (a-1, a-1, a-1, 4), & \alpha_1 = a+1, \\ (2a, \alpha_1), & \alpha_1 = 2a-1. \end{cases}$$

For $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 2, 1)$ with $a \geq 9$ let

$$\beta := \begin{cases} (2a+2, 4, 1^{\alpha_1 - 4}), & a+4 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 2a-2 \text{ or } 2a \leq \alpha_1 \leq 2a+2, \\ (2a+2, \alpha_1 - 1, 1), & \alpha_1 = a+1, \\ (2a+2, 2, 1^{\alpha_1 - 2}), & a+2 \leq \alpha_1 \leq a+3, \\ (2a+2, \alpha_1), & \alpha_1 = 2a-1. \end{cases}$$

For $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 3, 1)$ with $a \geq 11$ let

$$\beta := \begin{cases} (2a+3, 5, 1^{\alpha_1 - 5}), & a+5 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 2a-2 \text{ or } 2a \leq \alpha_1 \leq 2a+3, \\ (2a+3, 2, 1^{\alpha_1 - 2}), & \alpha_1 = a+1 \text{ or } \alpha_1 = a+4, \\ (2a+3, \alpha_1 - 2, 1, 1), & \alpha_1 = a+2, \\ (2a+3, \alpha_1), & \alpha_1 = a+3 \text{ or } \alpha_1 = 2a-1. \end{cases}$$

It's easy to check that in each of the above cases β is a partition and that $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$. In each of the above cases in can also be proved that $\chi^\beta_\alpha \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$.

Assume that $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 1)$ and $a+2 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 2a-2$, that $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 2, 1)$ and $a+4 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 2a-2$ or that $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 3, 1)$ and $a+5 \leq \alpha_1 \leq 2a-2$. In either case $h_{1,\beta_2+1} = 2a-2 \geq \alpha_1$. As $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ it follows from the Murnaghan-Nakayama formula that

$$\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \beta_2} \chi^{(|\alpha| - \alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h)} + \chi^{(|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1, \beta_2, 1^{\alpha_1 - \beta_2})}_{(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h)}.$$

Since by assumption

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1, \beta_2, 1^{\alpha_1 - \beta_2})}_{3,1} &= \alpha_1 - \beta_2 \geq a, \\ h^{(|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1, \beta_2, 1^{\alpha_1 - \beta_2})}_{1,2} &= |\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 \leq a-2, \end{aligned}$$

and $\alpha_2 = a$, we have that

$$\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \beta_2} + (-1)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \chi^{(|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1, \beta_2, 1^{\alpha_1 - \beta_2 - \alpha_2})}_{(\alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_h)}.$$

By definition of β

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,1}^{(|\alpha|-2\alpha_1,\beta_2,1^{\alpha_1-\beta_2-a})} &= |\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_1 - \beta_2 - \alpha_2 + 1 \\ &= \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h - (\alpha_4 + \ldots + \alpha_h + 1) + 1 \\ &= \alpha_3. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\chi_\alpha^\beta = (-1)^{\alpha_1-\beta_2} + (-1)^{\alpha_2-1+\alpha_1-\beta_2-\alpha_2+1}\chi_{(\alpha_4,\ldots,\alpha_h)}^{(\beta_2-1)} = (-1)^{\alpha_1-\beta_2}2.$$

The other cases can be computed similarly.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.6 for $\alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.6 for $\alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$. Again, from Lemma 1.5, as $\alpha \notin$ Sign but $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in$ Sign, we have that $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h$.

Throughout this section let k be minimal such that

$$\alpha_k + \ldots + \alpha_h < \alpha_1 - \alpha_2.$$

Since $\alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h$, it follows that $4 \leq k \leq h+1$. Also define

$$x := \alpha_k + \ldots + \alpha_h.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin$ Sign, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in$ Sign *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $k \leq h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ *is not a part of* α,
- $\alpha_{k-1} > x$.

Then $\beta = (|\alpha| - \alpha_1, x+1, 1^{\alpha_1-x-1})$ *is a partition,* $h_{2,1}^\beta = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi_\alpha^\beta = (-1)^{\alpha_1-x-1}2$.

Proof. By definition and by assumption

$$|\alpha| - \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_1 \geq x+1,$$

from which follows that β is a partition. Also clearly $h_{2,1}^\beta = \alpha_1$. We will now prove that $\chi_\alpha^\beta = (-1)^{\alpha_1-x-1}2$.

Assume first that $2\alpha_1 + x > |\alpha|$. Then

$$2 = |\alpha| - \alpha_1 - (\alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h) + 2 \leq |\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 2 \leq x + 1$$

and so

$$h^{\beta}_{1,|\alpha|-2\alpha_1+2} = |\alpha| - \alpha_1 + 2 - (|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 2) = \alpha_1.$$

It follows that

$$\chi^{\beta}_{\alpha} = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - x - 1}\chi^{(|\alpha|-\alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} - \chi^{\delta}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_1-x-1} - \chi^{\delta}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)},$$

where $\delta := (x, |\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 1, 1^{\alpha_1 - x - 1})$. So it is enough to prove that $\chi^{\delta}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - x}$. As $h^{\delta}_{1,2} \leq x < \alpha_{k-1} < \alpha_2$ by assumption, we have that

$$\chi^{\delta}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_2 - 1}\chi^{\epsilon}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)},$$

where $\epsilon := (x, |\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x - 1})$ (as by definition of x, $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 > x$, so that ϵ is a partition). By minimality of k,

$$|\epsilon| < 2x + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x \leq 2x + \alpha_{k-1}.$$

Also, as $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$ and $k - 2 \geq 2$,

$$\alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h = |\epsilon| < 2(\alpha_k + \ldots + \alpha_h) + \alpha_{k-1} < \alpha_{k-2} + \ldots + \alpha_h$$

and then $k - 2 < 3$. Since $k \geq 4$ it follows that $k = 4$. As by induction $\alpha_3 > x$,

$$\chi^{\delta}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_2-1}\chi^{\epsilon}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_2 - 1 + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x - 1}\chi^{(x)}_{(\alpha_4,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - x}$$

and then the theorem holds in this case.

Assume now that $2\alpha_1 + x < |\alpha|$. Then

$$x + 1 < |\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 1 \leq |\alpha| - \alpha_1$$

and so

$$h^{\beta}_{1,|\alpha|-2\alpha_1+1} = |\alpha| - \alpha_1 + 1 - (|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 1) = \alpha_1.$$

By definition $\alpha_2 \leq \alpha_1 - x - 1$ and by assumption $\alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$, so that any partition of $\alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h$ has at most one hook of length α_2. So

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{\beta}_{\alpha} &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - x - 1}\chi^{(|\alpha|-\alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} + \chi^{(|\alpha|-2\alpha_1, x+1, 1^{\alpha_1 - x - 1})}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - x - 1} + (-1)^{\alpha_2 - 1}\chi^{\lambda}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\lambda = (|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1, x+1, 1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x-1})$. So it is enough to prove that $\chi^{\lambda}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x}$.

First assume that $\alpha_{k-1} > \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$. Then

$$h^{\lambda}_{2,1} = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 < \alpha_j$$

for $3 \leq j \leq k-1$ and

$$h^{\lambda}_{1,x+2} = |\lambda| - x - 1 - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 \geq |\lambda| - \alpha_{k-1} - \ldots - \alpha_h = \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2}$$

if $x+2 \leq \lambda_1$. If $\lambda_1 = x+1$ then

$$|\lambda| = x + \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 + 1 \leq \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h \leq \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h = |\lambda|$$

and so in this case $k = 4$. In either case

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{\lambda}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} &= \chi^{(\alpha_{k-1}-\alpha_1+\alpha_2+x, x+1, 1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x-1})}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x}\chi^{(x)}_{(\alpha_k,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x} \end{aligned}$$

and so the theorem holds also in this case.

Now assume that $\alpha_{k-1} < \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$. Then $k \geq 5$ (otherwise $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h$) and

$$\alpha_{k-1} + x = \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$$

by definition of k. Since $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x - 1 < \alpha_{k-1}$ by minimality of k and since by assumption $x < \alpha_{k-1}$ and $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ is not a part of α, it follows similarly to the previous case that

$$\chi^{\lambda}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = \chi^{\mu}_{(\alpha_{k-2},\ldots,\alpha_h)},$$

where $\mu := (\alpha_{k-2} + \alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x, x+1, 1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x-1})$. As

$$2 \leq \alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x + 2 \leq x + 1$$

and so

$$h^{\mu}_{1,\alpha_{k-1}-\alpha_1+\alpha_2+x+2} = \alpha_{k-2} + \alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x + 2 - (\alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x + 2) = \alpha_{k-2}.$$

From $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ not being a part of α and

$$x, \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x - 1 < \alpha_{k-1} < \alpha_{k-2}$$

it follows that

$$\chi^{\mu}_{(\alpha_{k-2},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = -\chi^{\nu}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x},$$

with $\nu = (x, \alpha_{k-1} - \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + x + 1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x - 1})$, and so the theorem holds also in this case.

At last assume that $2\alpha_1 + x = |\alpha|$. Then

$$\alpha_1 = |\alpha| - \alpha_1 - x = \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-1}.$$

By definition of k we then have that

$$\alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-1} = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$$

and so

$$\alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2} \leq \alpha_k + \ldots + \alpha_h.$$

If $k \geq 5$ then $k - 2 \geq 3$ and then $\alpha_{k-2} \leq \alpha_k + \ldots + \alpha_h$. This gives a contradiction with $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$. So $k = 4$ and then $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$ is a part of α, which contradicts the assumptions. □

Theorem 3.2. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \mathrm{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $k \leq h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ *is not a part of* α,
- $\alpha_{k-1} \leq x$,
- *none of the following holds:*
  - $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (3, 2, 1, 1)$ *and* $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
  - $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (5, 3, 2, 1)$ *and* $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
  - $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 1)$ *with* $a \geq 2$ *and* $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 1$,
  - $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 2, 1)$ *with* $a \geq 4$ *and* $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 3$,
  - $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 3, 1)$ *with* $a \geq 5$ *and* $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 4$.

Then $\beta = (|\alpha| - \alpha_1, x + 1, 1^{\alpha_1 - x - 1})$ *is a partition,* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - x - 1} 2$.

Proof. As in the previous theorem we have that $2\alpha_1 + x \neq |\alpha|$, since $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ is not a part of α.

Assume first that $2\alpha_1 + x > |\alpha|$. From the proof of the previous theorem ($\alpha_2 > x$ since $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$), it is enough to prove that $\chi^{\epsilon}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x-1}$, where $\epsilon = (x, |\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 1, 1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x-1})$. In this case it holds $k = 4$ as in the previous theorem.

Assume now that $2\alpha_1 + x < |\alpha|$. Since $\alpha_{k-1} \leq x < \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ we have that $\alpha_{k-1} < \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$. As $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ is not a part of α it is enough, from the proof of the previous theorem, to prove that $x < \alpha_j$ for $j \leq k-2$ and that $\chi^{\nu}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x-1}$, where $\nu = (x, \alpha_{k-1}-\alpha_1+\alpha_2+x+1, 1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-x-1})$. In order to prove that $x < \alpha_j$ for $j \leq k-2$, it is enough to prove it for $j = k-2$. As $k \geq 4$, so that $k-2 \geq 2$, and $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$, we have that $x = \alpha_k + \ldots + \alpha_h < \alpha_{k-2}$.

In either case it is then enough to prove that $\chi^{\lambda_y}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^y$ for $\lambda_y = (x, \alpha_{k-1} - y, 1^y)$, $y = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x - 1$. Notice that $0 \leq y \leq \alpha_{k-1} - 1$, since λ_y is a partition.

Clearly $h^{\lambda_y}_{2,1} = \alpha_{k-1}$. If this is the only α_{k-1}-hook of λ, then it is easy to see that $\chi^{\lambda_y}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^y$. Else, due to hooks lengths being decreasing along both the rows and the columns, λ_y has exactly 2 α_{k-1}-hooks and there exists $2 \leq j \leq x$ with $h^{\lambda_y}_{1,j} = \alpha_{k-1}$.

As $\alpha_{k-1} \leq x$ by assumption

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in\ & \{(1,1), (3,2,1,1), (5,3,2,1)\} \cup \{(a, a-1, 1) : a \geq 2\} \\ & \cup \{(a, a-1, 2, 1) : a \geq 4\} \cup \{(a, a-1, 3, 1) : a \geq 5\}.\end{aligned}$$

If $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (1,1)$ then $x = 1 < 2$, so no such j exists.

If $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (3,2,1,1)$ then $\lambda_y \in \{(4,3), (4,1,1,1)\}$ if such a j exists, and so $y = 0$ or $y = 2$ respectively. The second case would imply $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x = 3$, which would contradict the assumption. As $\chi^{(4,3)}_{(3,2,1,1)} = 1 = (-1)^0$ the theorem holds in this case.

If $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (5,3,2,1)$ and there exists such a j then

$$\lambda_y \in \{(6,5), (6,4,1), (6,3,1,1), (6,1^5)\}$$

and then $y = 0$, $y = 1$, $y = 2$ or $y = 4$ respectively. In the last case $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x = 5$, which contradicts the assumption. In the other cases $\chi^{(6,5)}_{(5,3,2,1)} = 1 = (-1)^0$, $\chi^{(6,4,1)}_{(5,3,2,1)} = -1 = (-1)^1$ and $\chi^{(6,3,1,1)}_{(5,3,2,1)} = 1 = (-1)^2$ and so the theorem holds also in this case.

If $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 1)$ then there exists such a j if and only if $0 \leq y \leq \alpha_{k-1} - 2$. If $y = \alpha_{k-1} - 2$ then $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x = \alpha_{k-1} - 1$ which

contradicts the assumption. In the other cases

$$\chi^{\lambda_y}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = \chi^{(a,a-y,1^y)}_{(a,a-1,1)} = (-1)^y\chi^{(a)}_{(a-1,1)} - \chi^{(a-y-1,1^{y+1})}_{(a-1,1)} = (-1)^y,$$

since $a-y-2, y+1 \geq 1$, so that also $a-y-2, y+1 < a-1$. In particular the theorem holds in this case.

If $(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 2, 1)$ then there exists such a j if and only if $y \neq \alpha_{k-1} - 3$. For $y = \alpha_{k-1} - 4$ we have that $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x = \alpha_{k-1} - 3$, which contradicts the assumptions.

For $0 \leq y \leq \alpha_{k-1} - 5$ then $j = 4$ as $\alpha_{k-1} - y > 4$, so that

$$h^{\lambda_y}_{1,4} = a + 2 + 2 - 4 = a.$$

So

$$\chi^{\lambda_y}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = \chi^{(a+2,a-y,1^y)}_{(a,a-1,2,1)} = (-1)^y\chi^{(a+2)}_{(a-1,2,1)} - \chi^{(a-y-1,3,1^y)}_{(a-1,2,1)} = (-1)^y - \chi^{(a-y-1,3,1^y)}_{(a-1,2,1)}$$

and

$$\chi^{(a-y-1,3,1^y)}_{(a-1,2,1)} = \begin{cases} 0 & y \neq 0 \\ -\chi^{(2,1)}_{(2,1)} = 0 & y = 0, \end{cases}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(a-y-1,3,1^y)}_{1,1} &= a, \\ h^{(a-y-1,3,1^y)}_{2,1} &= y + 3 < a - 1, \\ h^{(a-y-1,3,1^y)}_{1,2} &= a - y - 1 \leq a - 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $0 \leq y \leq \alpha_{k-1} - 5 = a - 5$. In particular $\chi^{\lambda_y}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^y$.

For $\alpha_{k-1} - 2 \leq y \leq \alpha_{k-1} - 1$ then $j = 3$ as $\alpha_{k-1} - y \leq 2$, so that

$$h^{\lambda_y}_{1,3} = a + 2 + 1 - 3 = a.$$

It follows that

$$\chi^{\lambda_y}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = \chi^{(a+2,a-y,1^y)}_{(a,a-1,2,1)} = (-1)^y\chi^{(a+2)}_{(a-1,2,1)} + \chi^{(2,a-y,1^y)}_{(a-1,2,1)} = (-1)^y + \chi^{(2,a-y,1^y)}_{(a-1,2,1)}.$$

As

$$\chi^{(2,a-y,1^y)}_{(a-1,2,1)} = \begin{cases} \chi^{(2,2,1^{a-2})}_{(a-1,2,1)} = 0 & y = \alpha_{k-1} - 2, \\ \chi^{(2,1^a)}_{(a-1,2,1)} = (-1)^{a-2}\chi^{(2,1)}_{(2,1)} = 0 & y = \alpha_{k-1} - 1, \end{cases}$$

as $a \geq 4$. In particular also in this case $\chi^{\lambda_y}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^y$.

If $(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 3, 1)$ then there exists such a j if and only if $y \neq \alpha_{k-1} - 4$. If $y = \alpha_{k-1} - 5$ then $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - x = \alpha_k - 4$, in contradiction to the assumption.

For $0 \leq y \leq \alpha_{k-1} - 6$ then $j = 5$ as $\alpha_{k-1} - y > 5$ and then

$$h_{1,5}^{\lambda_y} = a + 3 + 2 - 5 = a.$$

So

$$\chi_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)}^{\lambda_y} = \chi_{(a,a-1,3,1)}^{(a+3,a-y,1^y)} = (-1)^y \chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(a+3)} - \chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(a-y-1,4,1^y)} = (-1)^y - \chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(a-y-1,3,1^y)}$$

and

$$\chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(a-y-1,4,1^y)} = \begin{cases} 0 & y \neq 0, \\ -\chi_{(3,1)}^{(3,1)} = 0 & y = 0, \end{cases}$$

as

$$\begin{aligned} h_{1,1}^{(a-y-1,4,1^y)} &= a, \\ h_{2,1}^{(a-y-1,4,1^y)} &= y + 4 < a - 1, \\ h_{1,2}^{(a-y-1,4,1^y)} &= a - y - 1 \leq a - 1, \end{aligned}$$

since $0 \leq y \leq \alpha_{k-1} - 6 = a - 6$. In particular $\chi_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)}^{\lambda_y} = (-1)^y$.

For $\alpha_{k-1} - 3 \leq y \leq \alpha_{k-1} - 1$ then $j = 4$ as $\alpha_{k-1} - y \leq 3$, so that

$$h_{1,4}^{\lambda_y} = a + 3 + 1 - 4 = a.$$

Then

$$\chi_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)}^{\lambda_y} = \chi_{(a,a-1,3,1)}^{(a+3,a-y,1^y)} = (-1)^y \chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(a+3)} + \chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(3,a-y,1^y)} = (-1)^y + \chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(3,a-y,1^y)}.$$

As

$$\chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(3,a-y,1^y)} = \begin{cases} \chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(3,3,1^{a-3})} = 0 & y = \alpha_{k-1} - 3, \\ \chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(3,2,1^{a-2})} = 0 & y = \alpha_{k-1} - 2, \\ \chi_{(a-1,3,1)}^{(3,1^a)} = (-1)^{a-2} \chi_{(3,1)}^{(3,1)} = 0 & y = \alpha_{k-1} - 1, \end{cases}$$

since $a \geq 5$ it follows that also in this case $\chi_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)}^{\lambda_y} = (-1)^y$. □

Theorem 3.3. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \mathrm{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $k \leq h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ *is not a part of* α,
- $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \{(3,2,1,1), (5,3,2,1)\}$,
- $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$.

Let c equal to 3 if $(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)=(3,2,1,1)$ or equal to 6 if $(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)=(5,3,2,1)$.

Then $\beta:=(|\alpha|-\alpha_1,\alpha_1-c,1^c)$ is a partition with $h^\beta_{2,1}=\alpha_1$ and $\chi^\beta_\alpha=(-1)^c2$.

Proof. Since $c<\alpha_2<\alpha_1<\alpha_2+\ldots+\alpha_h=|\alpha|-\alpha_1$ by assumption on α, it follows that β is a partition. Clearly $h^\beta_{2,1}=\alpha_1$.

Also, from

$$2\leq\alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_{k-2}+2<\alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_h-c<\alpha_1-c$$

we have that

$$\begin{aligned}h^\beta_{1,\alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_{k-2}+2}&=|\alpha|-\alpha_1+2-(\alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_{k-2}+2)\\&=\alpha_2+\ldots+\alpha_h-\alpha_3-\ldots-\alpha_{k-2}\\&=\alpha_2+\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_h\\&=\alpha_1.\end{aligned}$$

If $(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)=(3,2,1,1)$ let $d=3$. If instead $(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)=(5,3,2,1)$ let $d=4$. Notice that $c+d=\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_h-1$. Then by assumption

$$\alpha_1-c=\alpha_2+\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_h-c=\alpha_2+d+1.$$

It follows that

$$\chi^\beta_\alpha=(-1)^c\chi^{(|\alpha|-\alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)}-\chi^\delta_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)}=(-1)^c-\chi^\delta_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)}$$

where $\delta=(\alpha_2+d,\alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_{k-2}+1,1^c)$.

Assume first that $k=4$. Then $\alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_{k-2}=0$ and so, as $c+1<\alpha_2$,

$$\chi^\delta_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)}=\chi^{(d,1^{c+1})}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)}=(-1)^{c-1}$$

(the last equality follows from $(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)\in\{(3,2,1,1),(5,3,2,1)\}$ and from the definition of c and d) and so in this case $\chi^\beta_\alpha=(-1)^c2$.

So assume now that $k>4$. As $(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)\in$ Sign, it follows that $\alpha_j>\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_h$ for $j\leq k-2$. Also

$$\delta_2=\alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_{k-2}+1\geq\alpha_3+1>d+2>2.$$

So

$$h^\delta_{1,d+2}=\alpha_2+d+2-(d+2)=\alpha_2$$

and then as by assumption $|\delta| = \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h < 2\alpha_2$, so that δ cannot have more than 1 hook of length α_2,

$$\chi^{\delta}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = -\chi^{\epsilon}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)}$$

with $\epsilon = (\alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2}, d+1, 1^c)$. As $h^{\epsilon}_{2,1} = c+d+1 = \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h < \alpha_j$ for $j \leq k-2$ and then in particular also $\alpha_{k-2} \geq d+1 > 2$, we have that

$$\chi^{\epsilon}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = \chi^{(\alpha_{k-2},d+1,1^c)}_{(\alpha_{k-2},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = -\chi^{(d,1^{c+1})}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^c.$$

In particular also in this case $\chi^{\beta}_{\alpha} = (-1)^c 2$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \mathrm{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $k \leq h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ *is not a part of* α,
- *one of the following holds:*
  - $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 1)$ *with* $a \geq 2$, $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 1$ *and* $(\alpha_{k-2}, \ldots, \alpha_h) \notin \{(3,2,1,1), (5,3,2,1)\}$,
  - $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 2, 1)$ *with* $a \geq 4$ *and* $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 3$,
  - $(\alpha_{k-1}, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, 3, 1)$ *with* $a \geq 5$ *and* $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 4$.

Then $\beta := (|\alpha| - \alpha_1, 1^{\alpha_1})$ *is a partition with* $h^{\beta}_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^{\beta}_{\alpha} = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - 1} 2$.

Proof. From the definition we clearly have that β is a partition with $h^{\beta}_{2,1} = \alpha_1$.

Notice that from the assumptions $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + 2a - 1$. Also

$$|\alpha| - \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h > \alpha_2 + 2a - 1 = \alpha_1$$

and so, as $\alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$, so that any partition of $\alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h$ has at most one hook of length α_2,

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^{\beta}_{\alpha} &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - 1} \chi^{(|\alpha| - \alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} + \chi^{(|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1, 1^{\alpha_1})}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - 1} + (-1)^{\alpha_2 - 1} \chi^{(|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2})}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - 1} + (-1)^{\alpha_1} \chi^{(|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1, 1^{2a-1})}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Assume first that either $k = 4$ or $k > 4$ and $\alpha_{k-2} \geq 2a$. Then, as $\alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h \geq 2a$ it follows that

$$\chi^{(|\alpha|-2\alpha_1,1^{2a-1})}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = \chi^{(\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_h-2a+1,1^{2a-1})}_{(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = (-1)^{(a-1)+(a-2)} = -1.$$

The second last equality follows from

$$(\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_h-2a+1,1^{2a-1}) = \begin{cases} (1^{2a}) & (\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h) = (a,a-1,1), \\ (3,1^{2a-1}) & (\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h) = (a,a-1,2,1), \\ (4,1^{2a-1}) & (\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h) = (a,a-1,3,1), \end{cases}$$

so that, by assumption on a, $a-1 > h^{(\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_h-2a+1,1^{2a-1})}_{1,2}$ in the last two cases.

Assume now that $k > 4$ and $\alpha_{k-2} < 2a \leq \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$. Notice that in this case $(\alpha_{k-1},\ldots,\alpha_h) = (a,a-1,1)$, as $(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$ and then also $(\alpha_{k-2},\ldots,\alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$. From this assumption and the assumption that $(\alpha_{k-2},\ldots,\alpha_h) \notin \{(3,2,1,1),(5,3,2,1)\}$ it follows that $(\alpha_{k-2},\ldots,\alpha_h) \in \{(4,3,2,1),(5,4,3,1)\}$. Also, always by assumption of $(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$, if $k \geq 6$ then $\alpha_{k-3} > 2a-1$. In either of the two cases

$$\chi^{(|\alpha|-2\alpha_1,1^{2a-1})}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = \chi^{(\alpha_{k-2}+1,1^{2a-1})}_{(\alpha_{k-2},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = -1.$$

In either case $\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1-1}2$ and so the theorem is proved. □

Theorem 3.5. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \mathrm{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h) \in \mathrm{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $k \leq h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2$ *is not a part of* α,
- $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 1$,
- $(\alpha_{k-2},\ldots,\alpha_h) \in \{(3,2,1,1),(5,3,2,1)\}$.

Then $\beta := (|\alpha| - \alpha_1, \alpha_1)$ *is a partition with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = 2$.

Proof. Since, by assumption, $\alpha_1 < \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h = |\alpha| - \alpha_1$ we have that β is a partition. Also clearly $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$.

Notice that in this case $k - 2 > 2$, as $\alpha_{k-2} < \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$ and by assumption $\alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$. As

$$1 < \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2} + 3 < \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1$$

it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h^{\beta}_{1,\alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_{k-2}+3} &= |\alpha| - \alpha_1 + 2 - (\alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2} + 3) \\ &= |\alpha| - (\alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 1) - (\alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2}) - 1 \\ &= |\alpha| - \alpha_2 - \ldots - \alpha_h \\ &= \alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$\chi^{\beta}_{\alpha} = \chi^{(|\alpha|-\alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} - \chi^{\delta}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = 1 - \chi^{\delta}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)},$$

with

$$\delta := (\alpha_1 - 1, \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2} + 2) = (\alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 2, \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2} + 2).$$

Also by assumption

$$1 < \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h < \alpha_{k-2} + 2 \leq \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2} + 2$$

and then

$$h^{\delta}_{1,\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_h} = \alpha_2 + \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 2 + 2 - \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h = \alpha_2.$$

From the previous $\alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2} + 2 < \alpha_2$ and so

$$\chi^{\delta}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = -\chi^{\epsilon}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)}$$

with

$$\epsilon := (\alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{k-2} + 1, \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 1).$$

As $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ by assumption, so that $\alpha_j > \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h > \epsilon_2$ for $j \leq k-3$ and as $\alpha_{k-2} + 1 > \alpha_{k-1} + \ldots + \alpha_h - 1$ by assumption, it follows that

$$\chi^{\epsilon}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = \chi^{(\alpha_{k-2}+1,\alpha_{k-1}+\ldots+\alpha_h-1)}_{(\alpha_{k-2},\ldots,\alpha_h)} = 1$$

(the last equation follows from the assumption that $(\alpha_{k-2}, \ldots, \alpha_h)$ is either $(3,2,1,1)$ or $(5,3,2,1)$).

In particular $\chi^{\beta}_{\alpha} = 2$ and so the theorem holds. □

Theorem 3.6. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \text{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $k \leq h$,
- *there exists* i *with* $\alpha_i = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$,

- $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$.

Then $\beta = (|\alpha| - \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1})$ *is a partition with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} 2$.

Proof. Since by assumption $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_2 + 1$ and (also using Lemma 1.5)

$$|\alpha| - \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_2 + 1$$

it follows that β is partition. Also clearly $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$.

From the definition of k and from

$$2\alpha_2 > \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_1$$

we have that $3 \leq i < k \leq h$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} h^\beta_{1,2} &= |\alpha| - \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_2 + \alpha_i + \alpha_h > \alpha_1, \\ h^\beta_{1,\alpha_2+1} &= |\alpha| - \alpha_1 + 2 - \alpha_2 - 1 = \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h + 1 \leq \alpha_2 < \alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular there exists $3 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ such that $h^\beta_{1,j} = \alpha_1$. From the Murnaghan-Nakayama formula it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^\beta_\alpha &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} \chi^{(|\alpha| - \alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h)} - \chi^{(\alpha_2, j-1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1})}_{(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_3)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} + \chi^{(j-2, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2})}_{(\alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} + \chi^{(\alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_h, 1^{\alpha_i})}_{(\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} + (-1)^{\alpha_i - 1} \chi^{(\alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_h)}_{(\alpha_{i+1}, \ldots, \alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1} 2. \end{aligned}$$

The second line follows from $h^{(\alpha_2, j-1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1})}_{1,2} = \alpha_2$, as $j \geq 3$, and from $|(\alpha_2, j-1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1})| = |\alpha| - \alpha_1 < 2\alpha_2$, so that $(\alpha_2, j-1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 1})$ has at most one hook of length α_2. The third line from $\alpha_j > \alpha_i$ for $j < i$ and from $i < h$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(j-2, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2})}_{1,2} &= |(\alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_h)| - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - 1 \\ &= \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h - \alpha_i - 1 \\ &\geq \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

The fourth line follows from $\alpha_i \geq \alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$. □

Theorem 3.7. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin$ Sign, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in$ Sign *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,

- $k \leq h$,
- *there exists* i *with* $\alpha_i = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2$,
- $\alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$.

Then $\beta = (|\alpha| - \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 2, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 2})$ *is a partition with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} 2$.

Proof. Since by assumption $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_2 + 1$ and

$$|\alpha| - \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_1 > \alpha_2 + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_2 + 1$$

it follows that β is partition with $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$.

From $\alpha_i < \alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_h$ and $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}(\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_h) \quad \in \quad & \{(3,2,1,1), (5,3,2,1)\} \cup \{(a, a-1, 2, 1) : a \geq 4\} \\ & \cup \{(a, a-1, 3, 1) : a \geq 5\}.\end{aligned}$$

Similar to the previous theorem we have that $3 \leq i < k \leq h$, from which follows that

$$\begin{aligned} h^\beta_{1,2} &= |\alpha| - \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_2 + \alpha_i + \ldots + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_1 + 2, \\ h^\beta_{1,\alpha_2+2} &= |\alpha| - \alpha_1 + 2 - \alpha_2 - 2 = \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h < \alpha_2 < \alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular there exists $4 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ such that $h^\beta_{1,j} = \alpha_1$. So

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^\beta_\alpha &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 2} \chi^{(|\alpha| - \alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h)} - \chi^{(\alpha_2+1, j-1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 2})}_{(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_3)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} + \chi^{(j-2, 2, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 2})}_{(\alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2} + \chi^{(\alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_h, 2, 1^{\alpha_i - 2})}_{(\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_h)}. \end{aligned}$$

The second line follows from $\alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$ and, as $j \geq 4$,

$$h^{(\alpha_2+1, j-1, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 2})}_{1,3} = \alpha_2 + 1 + 2 - 3 = \alpha_2.$$

The third line follows from $\alpha_j > \alpha_i$ for $j < i$ and from

$$\begin{aligned} h^{(j-2, 2, 1^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 2})}_{1,3} &= |(\alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_h)| - (\alpha_1 - \alpha_2) - 2 \\ &= \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h - \alpha_i - 2 \\ &\geq \alpha_1 + \ldots + \alpha_{i+1}. \end{aligned}$$

If $(\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \{(3,2,1,1), (5,3,2,1)\}$ it is easy to check that

$$\chi^{(\alpha_{i+1} + \ldots + \alpha_h, 2, 1^{\alpha_i - 2})}_{(\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_h)} = -1 = (-1)^{\alpha_i} = (-1)^{\alpha_1 - \alpha_2}.$$

In particular the theorem holds in this case.

If $(\alpha_i, \ldots, \alpha_h) = (a, a-1, c, 1)$ with $c \in \{2, 3\}$ then, as $a - 1 > c$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^{(\alpha_{i+1}+\ldots+\alpha_h, 2, 1^{\alpha_i-2})}_{(\alpha_i,\ldots,\alpha_h)} &= \chi^{(a+c,2,1^{a-2})}_{(a,a-1,c,1)} \\
&= (-1)^{a-2}\chi^{(a+c)}_{(a-1,c,1)} + \chi^{(c,2,1^{a-2})} \\
&= (-1)^a \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2},
\end{aligned}$$

so that the theorem holds also in this case. □

In the next theorems we will consider the case $k = h+1$, that is $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 \leq \alpha_h$.

Theorem 3.8. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \text{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 < \alpha_h$.

Then $\beta := (|\alpha| - \alpha_1, 1^{\alpha_1})$ *is a partition with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1-1}2$.

Proof. Clearly β is a partition and $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$. By assumption $|\alpha| - \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 + \alpha_h > \alpha_1$, from which also follows that $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 < \alpha_h \leq \alpha_j$ for $j \leq h$. Also as by assumption $\alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$, so that any partition of $\alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h$ has at most one α_2-hook, it follows from the Murnaghan-Nakayama formula that

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^\beta_\alpha &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-1}\chi^{(|\alpha|-\alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} + \chi^{(|\alpha|-2\alpha_1,1^{\alpha_1})}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1-1} + (-1)^{\alpha_2-1}\chi^{(|\alpha|-2\alpha_1,1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2})}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1-1} + (-1)^{\alpha_2-1}\chi^{(\alpha_h-\alpha_1+\alpha_2,1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2})}_{(\alpha_h)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1-1}2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.9. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \text{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_h$,
- $h = 3$.

Then $\beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_1)$ *is a partitions with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = 2$.

Proof. Notice that $\alpha_3 \geq 2$, since $1 \leq \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_3$ and $(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) \notin \text{Sign}$. Clearly β is a partition with $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$.

As $\beta = (\alpha_1, \alpha_1)$ and $\alpha_3 \geq 2$ we have that

$$\chi^\beta_\alpha = \chi^{(\alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2,\alpha_3)} - \chi^{(\alpha_1-1,1)}_{(\alpha_2,\alpha_3)} = 2.$$

□

Theorem 3.10. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \text{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_h \geq 2$,
- $h \geq 4$.

Then $\beta = (|\alpha| - \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 2, 1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-2})$ *is a partition with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}2$.

Proof. As $\alpha_2 + 2 \leq \alpha_2 + \alpha_h = \alpha_1$ and $|\alpha| - \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 + \alpha_h$ we have that β is a partition and that $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$. Notice that β'_1, which is the number of parts of β, is given by

$$\beta'_1 = \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_h.$$

As $h \geq 4$ and $\alpha_{h-1} > \alpha_h \geq 2$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned} h^\beta_{1,2} &= |\alpha| - \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 + \alpha_h + \alpha_{h-1} \geq \alpha_1 + 3, \\ h^\beta_{1,\alpha_2+2} &= |\alpha| - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h \leq \alpha_2 - 1 \leq \alpha_1 - 2. \end{aligned}$$

In particular there exists $5 \leq j \leq \alpha_2$ with $h^\beta_{1,j} = \alpha_1$. Such j satisfies $\beta \backslash R^\beta_{1,j} = (\alpha_2+1, j-1, 1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-2})$ and then also $h^{\beta\backslash R^\beta_{1,j}}_{1,3} = \alpha_2$ as $j-1 > 3$ (where $R^\beta_{1,j}$ is the rim hook of β corresponding to node $(1,j)$). As $\alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$, as $\beta'_1 = \alpha_h$ and as $\alpha_i > \alpha_h$ for $i < h$ (since $\alpha_h \geq 2$) we then obtain from the Murnaghan-Nakayama formula that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^\beta_\alpha &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-2}\chi^{(|\alpha|-\alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} - \chi^{(\alpha_2+1,j-1,1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2-2})}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2} + \chi^{(j-2,2,1^{\alpha_h-2})}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2} + \chi^{(\alpha_{h-1},2,1^{\alpha_h-2})}_{(\alpha_{h-1},\alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2} - \chi^{(1^{\alpha_h})}_{(\alpha_{h-1},\alpha_h)} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2} + (-1)^{\alpha_h} \\ &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.11. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \text{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_h = 1 = \alpha_{h-1}$,
- $h \geq 4$.

Then $\beta = (|\alpha| - \alpha_1, \alpha_1)$ *is a partition with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = 2$.

Proof. From Lemma 1.5 it follows from the assumptions that $|\alpha| - \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_1$ and so β is a partition. Also $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$. As

$$3 = \alpha_{h-1} + 2 \leq \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{h-1} + 2 = \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h + 1 \leq \alpha_2 < \alpha_1$$

and

$$|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 2 = \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h - \alpha_1 + 2 = \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_{h-1} + 2,$$

we have that, for $j = |\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 2$,

$$h^\beta_{1,j} = |\alpha| - \alpha_1 + 2 - j = \alpha_1.$$

Also $2 \leq j - 1 < \alpha_2$ and then, as $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - 1$ and $\alpha_{h-2} > \alpha_{h-1} = \alpha_h = 1$,

$$\chi^\beta_\alpha = \chi^{(|\alpha|-\alpha_1)}_{(\alpha_2\ldots,\alpha_h)} - \chi^{(\alpha_1-1,j-1)}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = 1 + \chi^{(j-2,1)}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} = 2.$$

□

Theorem 3.12. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \text{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_h = 1 < \alpha_{h-1}$,
- $h = 4$.

Then $\beta = (\alpha_1 - 2, \alpha_3, \alpha_3, 4, 1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_3-2})$ *is a partition with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_3}2$.

Proof. Notice that from the assumptions it follows that $\alpha_3 \geq 4$. Also $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3$ and so β is a partition with $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$. As $\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - 1$ and $\alpha_4 = 1$ we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^\beta_\alpha &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_3}\chi^{(\alpha_1-2,\alpha_3-1,3)}_{(\alpha_1-1,\alpha_3,1)} - \chi^{(\alpha_3-1,\alpha_3-1,3,1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_3-1})}_{(\alpha_1-1,\alpha_3,1)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_3}\chi^{(\alpha_3-2,2,1)}_{(\alpha_3,1)} + (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_3+1}\chi^{(\alpha_3-1,2)}_{(\alpha_3,1)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1-\alpha_3}2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.13. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \text{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_h = 1$,
- $h \geq 5$,
- $\alpha_{h-1} = 2$.

Then $\beta = (|\alpha| - \alpha_1 - 2, \alpha_1 - 2, 2, 2)$ *is a partition with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = -2$.

Proof. As $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \ldots > \alpha_h = 1$ it follows that $\alpha_1 \geq h \geq 5$. Also, by assumption on α,

$$|\alpha| - \alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 + \alpha_{h-2} + \alpha_h \geq \alpha_1 + 3$$

and so it follows that β is a partition. Clearly $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$. Since by assumption

$$|\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 2 = \alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h - \alpha_1 + 2 = \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h + 1 \leq \alpha_2 < \alpha_1$$

we also have that

$$\begin{aligned} h^\beta_{1,3} &= |\alpha| - \alpha_1 - 2 + 2 - 3 = |\alpha| - \alpha_1 - 3 \geq \alpha_1, \\ h^\beta_{1,\alpha_1 - 2} &= |\alpha| - \alpha_1 - 2 + 2 - \alpha_1 + 2 = |\alpha| - 2\alpha_1 + 2 < \alpha_1. \end{aligned}$$

In particular there exists $3 \leq j \leq \alpha_1 - 3$ with $h^\beta_{1,j} = \alpha_1$.

From $\alpha_{h-1} = 2$ and $\alpha_h = 1$ it follows that $\alpha_j + \ldots + \alpha_h - 3 \geq \alpha_j$ for $j \leq h - 2$. Since $\alpha_j \geq 3$ for $j \leq h - 2$ we then have that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^\beta_\alpha &= \chi^{(|\alpha| - \alpha_1 - 2, 1, 1)}_{(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h)} - \chi^{(\alpha_1 - 3, j-1, 2, 2)}_{(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h)} \\ &= \chi^{(|\alpha| - \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 - 2, 1, 1)}_{(\alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_h)} + \chi^{(j-2, 1, 1)}_{(\alpha_3, \ldots, \alpha_h)} \\ &= 2\chi^{(1,1,1)}_{(2,1)} \\ &= -2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 3.14. *Assume that the following hold:*

- $\alpha \notin \text{Sign}$, $(\alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_h) \in \text{Sign}$ *and* $\alpha_1 > \alpha_2 > \alpha_3 + \ldots + \alpha_h$,
- $\alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = \alpha_h = 1$,
- $h \geq 5$,

- $\alpha_{h-1} \geq 3$.

Then $\beta = (|\alpha| - \alpha_1 - \alpha_{h-1} + 1, 3, 3, 2^{\alpha_{h-1}-3}, 1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_{h-1}-1})$ *is a partition with* $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$ *and* $\chi^\beta_\alpha = (-1)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_{h-1}-1}2$.

Proof. As $h \geq 5$, so that

$$\beta_1 = |\alpha| - \alpha_1 - \alpha_{h-1} + 1 \geq \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 1 > \alpha_1 + 3,$$

and as $\alpha_1 > \alpha_{h-1} \geq 3$ it follows that β is a partition with $h^\beta_{2,1} = \alpha_1$. Also $\beta_1 \geq 4$ and $h^\beta_{1,4} \geq \alpha_1$. From the assumptions we also have

$$|\alpha|-2\alpha_1-\alpha_{h-1} = \alpha_2+\ldots+\alpha_h-\alpha_1-\alpha_{h-1} = \alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_{h-2} > \alpha_3+\ldots+\alpha_{h-3}+2.$$

Since $\alpha_j > \alpha_{h-1}$ for $j < h-1$ and again any partition of $\alpha_2 + \ldots + \alpha_h$ has at most one α_2-hook, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\chi^\beta_\alpha &= (-1)^{\alpha_1-3}\chi^{(|\alpha|-\alpha_1-\alpha_{h-1}+1,2,1^{\alpha_{h-1}-3})}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} + \chi^{(|\alpha|-2\alpha_1-\alpha_{h-1}+1,3,3,2^{\alpha_{h-1}-3},1^{\alpha_1-\alpha_{h-1}-1})}_{(\alpha_2,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1-1}\chi^{(|\alpha|-2\alpha_1-\alpha_{h-1}+2,2,1^{\alpha_{h-1}-3})}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} + (-1)^{\alpha_1-4}\chi^{(|\alpha|-2\alpha_1-\alpha_{h-1}+1,3,1^{\alpha_{h-1}-3})}_{(\alpha_3,\ldots,\alpha_h)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1-1}\chi^{(\alpha_{h-2}+2,2,1^{\alpha_{h-1}-3})}_{(\alpha_{h-2},\alpha_{h-1},\alpha_h)} + (-1)^{\alpha_1}\chi^{(\alpha_{h-2}+1,3,1^{\alpha_{h-1}-3})}_{(\alpha_{h-2},\alpha_{h-1},\alpha_h)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1-1}2\chi^{(2,2,1^{\alpha_h-1-3})}_{(\alpha_{h-1},\alpha_h)} \\
&= (-1)^{\alpha_1+\alpha_{h-1}-1}2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

4 The partitions $(\gamma_{s+1}, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ are sign partitions

In this section we will prove that

- $()$, $(1,1)$, $(3,2,1,1)$, $(5,3,2,1)$,
- $(a, a-1, 1)$ with $a \geq 2$,
- $(a, a-1, 2, 1)$ with $a \geq 4$,
- $(a, a-1, 3, 1)$ with $a \geq 5$

are all sign partitions. For $()$, $(1,1)$, $(3,2,1,1)$ and $(5,3,2,1)$ this can be done by just looking at the corresponding character table. For the other partitions we will use the next lemma.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $a \geq 2$ and $\gamma = (a, a-1, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ be a partition. Assume that the following hold.*

- *$(a-1, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ is a sign partition,*
- *$\gamma_3 + \ldots + \gamma_r \leq a$.*

If β is a partition of $|\gamma|$ for which $\chi^\beta_\gamma \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$ then β has two a-hooks. Also if δ is obtained from β by removing an a-hook then $\chi^\delta_{(a-1,\gamma_3,\ldots,\gamma_r)} \neq 0$. In particular each such δ has an $(a-1)$-hook.

Proof. By assumption

$$|\gamma| = 2a - 1 + \gamma_3 + \ldots + \gamma_r < 3a.$$

In particular any partition of $|\gamma|$ has at most two a-hooks. As

$$\chi^\beta_\gamma = \sum_{(i,j):h^\beta_{i,j}=a} \pm \chi^{\beta \setminus R^\beta_{i,j}}_{(a-1,\gamma_3,\ldots,\gamma_r)}$$

and, since $(a-1, \gamma_3, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ is a sign partition, so that $\chi^{\beta\setminus R^\beta_{i,j}}_{(a-1,\gamma_3,\ldots,\gamma_r)} \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ for each $(i,j) \in [\beta]$, the Young diagram of β, with $h^\beta_{i,j} = a$, the lemma follows. □

Theorem 4.2. *If $a \geq 2$ then $(a, a-1, 1)$ is a sign partition.*

Proof. As $(a-1, 1)$ is a sign partition for $a \geq 2$, from Lemma 4.1 we only need to check that $\chi^\beta_{(a,a-1,1)} \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ for partitions β of $2a$ with two a-hooks and such that if μ and ν are the partitions obtained from β by removing an a-hook then μ and ν both have an an $(a-1)$-hook. From β having two a-hooks it follows that μ and ν also have an a-hook. The only partitions of a having both an a-hook and an $(a-1)$-hook are (a) and (1^a). As $\mu \neq \nu$ it then follows that $\{\mu, \nu\} = \{(a), (1^a)\}$. Looking at the a-quotients and a-cores of β, μ and ν we have that there exists a unique such β, which is given by $\beta = (a, 2, 1^{a-2})$. We have

$$\chi^{(a,2,1^{a-2})}_{(a,a-1,1)} = (-1)^{a-2}\chi^{(a)}_{(a-1,1)} - \chi^{(1^a)}_{(a-1,1)} = (-1)^a + (-1)^{a-1} = 0$$

and so $(a, a-1, 1)$ is a sign partition. □

Theorem 4.3. *If $a \geq 4$ then $(a, a-1, 2, 1)$ is a sign partition.*

Proof. For $a = 4$ we can check that $(a, a-1, 2, 1) = (4, 3, 2, 1)$ is a sign partition by looking at the character table of S_{10}. So assume that $a \geq 5$. As $(a-1, 2, 1)$ is a sign partition for $a \geq 5$ from Lemma 1.5, from Lemma 4.1 we only need to check that $\chi^{\beta}_{(a,a-1,2,1)} \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ for partitions β of $2a+2$ with two a-hooks and such that if μ and ν are the partitions obtained from β by removing an a-hook then μ and ν have both an a-hook and an $(a-1)$-hook.

So let β have two a-hook. Then, as $|\beta| = 2a+2 < 3a$, we have that $\beta_{(a)}$, the a-core of β, is either (2) or (1^2). We will assume that $\beta_{(a)} = (2)$, since for any partitions λ, ρ with $|\lambda| = |\rho|$ and any positive integer q, we have that $\chi^{\lambda}_{\rho} = \pm\chi^{\lambda'}_{\rho}$ and $\lambda'_{(q)} = (\lambda_{(q)})'$, where λ' is the adjoint partition of λ and similarly for $\lambda_{(q)}$. Then μ and ν can be obtained by adding an a-hook to (2) and so

$$\mu, \nu \in \{(a+2), (2, 2, 1^{a-2}), (2, 1^a)\} \cup \{(a-i, 3, 1^{i-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq a-3\},$$

as all these partitions can be obtained by adding an a-hook to (2) and, since $2 < a$, there are exactly a such partitions. As μ and ν have an $(a-1)$-hook we then have that

$$\mu, \nu \in \{(a+2), (2, 1^a), (a-1, 3), (3, 3, 1^{a-4})\}.$$

Notice that since $a \geq 5$ the four above partitions are distinct. As $a \geq 5$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(2,1^a)}_{(a-1,2,1)} &= (-1)^{a-2}\chi^{(2,1)}_{(2,1)} = 0, \\ \chi^{(a-1,3)}_{(a-1,2,1)} &= -\chi^{(2,1)}_{(2,1)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

we only need to consider, from Lemma 4.1, the partition β corresponding to $\{\mu, \nu\} = \{(a+2), (3, 3, 1^{a-4})\}$, that is for $\beta = (a+2, 4, 1^{a-4})$. As

$$\chi^{(a+2,4,1^{a-4})}_{(a,a-1,2,1)} = -\chi^{(3,3,1^{a-4})}_{(a-1,2,1)} + (-1)^{a-4}\chi^{(a+2)}_{(a-1,2,1)} = (-1)^{a-3}\chi^{(3)}_{(2,1)} + (-1)^a = 0$$

it follows that $(a, a-1, 2, 1)$ is a sign partition. □

Theorem 4.4. *If $a \geq 5$ then $(a, a-1, 3, 1)$ is a sign partition.*

Proof. If $a = 5$ then $(a, a-1, 3, 1) = (5, 4, 3, 1)$ and by looking at the character table of S_{13} we can easily check that this is a sign partition. So assume now that $a \geq 6$. As $(a-1, 3, 1)$ is a sign partition for $a \geq 6$ from Lemma 1.5, from Lemma 4.1 we only need to check that $\chi^{\beta}_{(a,a-1,3,1)} \in \{0, \pm 1\}$ for partitions β of $2a+3$ with two a-hooks and such that if μ and ν are the partitions obtained from β by removing an a-hook then μ and ν have both an a-hook and an $(a-1)$-hook.

So let β have two a-hook. Then $\beta_{(a)}$ is (3), $(2,1)$ or (1^3). Similarly to the previous theorem we will assume that $\beta_{(a)}$ is either (3) or $(2,1)$.

Assume first that $\beta_{(a)} = (3)$. Then, as μ and ν can be obtained by adding an a-hook to (3) and as there exists exactly a such partitions since $a > 3$,

$$\mu, \nu \in \{(a+3), (3,3,1^{a-3}), (3,2,1^{a-2}), (3,1^a)\} \cup \{(a-i, 4, 1^{i-1}) : 1 \leq i \leq a-4\}.$$

As μ and ν also have an $(a-1)$-hook it then follows that

$$\mu, \nu \in \{(a+3), (3,1^a), (a-1,4), (4,4,1^{a-5})\}.$$

As $a \geq 6$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(3,1^a)}_{(a-1,3,1)} &= (-1)^{a-2}\chi^{(3,1)}_{(3,1)} = 0, \\ \chi^{(a-1,4)}_{(a-1,3,1)} &= -\chi^{(3,1)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and so, from Lemma 4.1, we can assume that $\{\gamma, \delta\} = \{(a+3), (4,4,1^{a-5})\}$, that is that $\beta = (a+3, 5, 1^{a-5})$ and then

$$\chi^{\beta}_{(a,a-1,3,1)} = -\chi^{(4,4,1^{a-5})}_{(a-1,3,1)} + (-1)^{a-5}\chi^{(a+3)}_{(a-1,3,1)} = (-1)^{a-4}\chi^{(4)}_{(3,1)} + (-1)^{a-5} = 0.$$

Assume now that $\beta_{(a)} = (2,1)$. Also in this case, as $a > 3$, there exist exactly a partitions which can be obtained by adding an a-hook to $(2,1)$ and μ and ν are two of them. So

$$\mu, \nu \in \{(a+2,1), (a,3), (2,2,2,1^{a-3}), (2,1^{a+1})\} \cup \{(a-i, 3, 2, 1^{i-2}) : 2 \leq i \leq a-3\}.$$

As μ and ν have an $(a-1)$-hook it follows that

$$\mu, \nu \in \{(a+2,1), (a,3), (2,2,2,1^{a-3}), (2,1^{a+1}), (a-2,3,2), (3,3,2,1^{a-5})\}.$$

Since $a \geq 6$

$$\begin{aligned} \chi^{(a+2,1)}_{(a-1,3,1)} &= \chi^{(3,1)}_{(3,1)} = 0, \\ \chi^{(2,1^{a+1})}_{(a-1,3,1)} &= (-1)^{a-2}\chi^{(2,1,1)}_{(3,1)} = 0, \\ \chi^{(a-2,3,2)}_{(a-1,3,1)} &= \chi^{(2,1,1)}_{(3,1)} = 0, \\ \chi^{(3,3,2,1^{a-5})}_{(a-1,3,1)} &= (-1)^{a-4}\chi^{(3,1)}_{(3,1)} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

we again only need to consider one partition β. In this case $\{\mu, \nu\} = \{(a,3), (2,2,2,1^{a-3})\}$ and then $\beta = (a,3,3,1^{a-3})$. As

$$\chi^{(a,3,3,1^{a-3})}_{(a,a-1,3,1)} = \chi^{(2,2,2,1^{a-3})}_{(a-1,3,1)} + (-1)^{a-3}\chi^{(a,3)}_{(a-1,3,1)} = (-1)^{a-3}\chi^{(2,2)}_{(3,1)} + (-1)^{a-2}\chi^{(2,2)}_{(3,1)} = 0,$$

it follows that $(a, a-1, 3, 1)$ is a sign partition also for $a \geq 6$. □

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

For $r \leq 2$ Theorem 1.3 follows from Lemmas 1.4 and 1.5. So assume now that $r \geq 3$.

From Lemma 1.5 and Section 4 it easily follows that if $\gamma \in \text{Sign}$ then γ is a sign partition.

Assume now that $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r)$ is a sign partition. From Lemma 1.4 it follows that $(\gamma_{r-1}, \gamma_r) \in \text{Sign}$. Also from Lemma 1.5, $\gamma_{i-1} > \gamma_i$ for $2 \leq i \leq r-1$. Fix $2 \leq i \leq r-1$ and assume that $(\gamma_i, \ldots, \gamma_r) \in \text{Sign}$.

Assume that $(\gamma_{i-1}, \ldots, \gamma_r) \neq (5,4,3,2,1)$ and that $(\gamma_{i-1}, \ldots, \gamma_r) \notin \text{Sign}$. From Theorem 1.6 we can find β such that $\chi^{\beta}_{(\gamma_{i-1},\ldots,\gamma_r)} \notin \{0, \pm 1\}$ and $h^{\beta}_{2,1} = \gamma_{i-1}$. Let

$$\delta := (\beta_1 + \gamma_1 + \ldots + \gamma_{i-2}, \beta_2, \beta_3, \ldots).$$

Then δ is a partition of $|\gamma|$. If $i - 1 = 1$ then

$$\chi^{\delta}_{\gamma} = \chi^{\beta}_{(\gamma_{i-1},\ldots,\gamma_r)} \notin \{0, \pm 1\},$$

in contradiction to γ being a sign partition. If $i - 1 \geq 2$ then $(1, \beta_1 + 1) \in [\delta]$ and

$$h^{\delta}_{1,\beta_1+1} = \gamma_1 + \ldots + \gamma_{i-2}.$$

Since $\beta_2 < \beta_1 + 1$ and $h^{\delta}_{2,1} = h^{\beta}_{2,1} = \gamma_{i-1} < \gamma_j$ for $j \leq i-2$, we have that also in this case

$$\chi^{\delta}_{\gamma} = \chi^{\beta}_{(\gamma_{i-1},\ldots,\gamma_r)} \notin \{0, \pm 1\},$$

which again gives a contradiction.

Assume now that $(\gamma_{i-1}, \ldots, \gamma_r) = (5,4,3,2,1)$. If $i - 1 = 1$ or $i - 1 \geq 2$ and $\gamma_{i-2} \geq 7$, then similarly to the previous case

$$\chi^{(4+\gamma_1+\ldots+\gamma_{i-2},4,4,3)}_{\gamma} = \chi^{(4,4,4,3)}_{(5,4,3,2,1)} = -2.$$

If $i - 1 \geq 2$ and $\gamma_{i-1} = 6$ we have similarly that

$$\chi^{(15+\gamma_1+\ldots+\gamma_{i-3},2,1,1,1,1)}_{\gamma} = \chi^{(15,2,1,1,1,1)}_{(6,5,4,3,2,1)} = 2.$$

In either case we have a contradiction with γ being a sign partition.

So $(\gamma_{i-1}, \ldots, \gamma_r) \in \text{Sign}$. By induction $\gamma \in \text{Sign}$ and so Theorem 1.3 is proved.

Acknowledgements

Part of the work contained in this paper is contained in the author's master thesis ([2]), which was written at the University of Copenhagen, under the supervision of Jørn B. Olsson, whom the author thanks for reviewing the paper.

References

[1] G. James, A. Kerber. *The Representation Theory of the Symmetric Group.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1981.

[2] L. Morotti. *On p-vanishing and sign classes of the symmetric group, Applications of the Murnaghan-Nakayama Formula.* Master thesis, Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen (2011).

[3] J. B. Olsson. *Combinatorics and Representations of Finite Groups.* Vorlesungen aus dem Fachbereich Mathematik der Univerität GH Essen, 1994. Heft 20.

[4] J. B. Olsson. *Sign conjugacy classes in symmetric groups.* Journal of Algebra 322 (2009) 2793-2800.